CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHOD

A. Reasearch Method

This research uses qualitative research methods. Research using qualitative methods is intended to understand social phenomena from the angle or perspective of participants. Qualitative research examines the perspective of participants with multiple strategies, strategies that are interactive. such as direct observation, participatory observation, in-depth interviews, documents, complementary techniques such as photos, recordings, and so on. The purpose of this study is to analized Students' Perception Towards The Use Narative Text In Writing Activities In English Students Community. (Creswell, 2014).

B. Research Location and Time

This study will be conducted at Tadris Faculty and will concentrate on UINFAS Bengkulu English program students.

Jl. Raden Fatah, Kota Bengkulu, Provinsi Bengkulu, Kelurahan pagar dewa, Kecamatan Selebar.

C. Research Subject

The students enrolled at UINFAS Bengkulu in fourth semester of the English study program participated in this study. There are 39 students, consisted of 12 males and 27 females from the English Study Program in UINFAS

Bengkulu. The researcher employed purposive sampling to select the research sample. The research subject is shown in Table:

Table 1. The Research Subject Demography

No.	Gender	self-reported English		Total				
		proficiency						
1.	Male	Medium	12					
2.	Female	Medium	27					
	Total							

D. Procedure of collection Data

1. Interview

Interviews are used as a data collection technique if the researcher wants to conduct a preliminary study to find problems that must be researched, and also if the researcher wants to know things from respondents that are more indepth and the number of respondents is small. Sutrisno Hadi (1986) suggests that the assumptions that need to be held by researchers in using interview techniques and also questionnaires are as follows:

- a. That the subject (respondent) is the one who knows best about himself.
- b. That what the subject states to the researcher is true and can be trusted.

c. That the subject's interpretation of the questions the researcher asks him is the same as what the researcher intended.

Interviews can be structured or unstructured, and can be conducted face-to-face or over the phone.

a. Structured interviews

Structured interviews are used as a data collection technique, when researchers or data collectors already know exactly what information will be obtained. Therefore, in conducting interviews, data collectors have prepared research instruments in the form of written questions whose alternative answers have also been prepared. With this structured interview, respondent is given the same question, and the data collector records it. In conducting interviews, in addition to having to bring instruments as guidelines for interviews, data collectors can also use tools such as tape recorders, pictures, brochures and other materials that can help the implementation of interviews run smoothly.

b. Unstructured interviews

Unstructured interviews are free interviews where researchers do not use interview guidelines that have been arranged systematically and complete for data collection. The interview guidelines used are only in the form of outlines of the problems to be asked.

Unstructured interviews are often used in preliminary research even for more in-depth research on respondents. In preliminary research, researchers try to get preliminary information about various issues or problems that exist in the object, so that researchers can determine exactly what problems or variables should be studied.

In unstructured interviews, the researcher does not yet know exactly what data will be obtained, so the researcher listens more to what is told by the respondent. Based on the analysis of each answer from the respondent, the researcher can ask various subsequent questions that are more focused on one goal.

In conducting interviews, the interviewer must pay attention to the situation and conditions so that he can choose the right time when and where to conduct interviews.

2. Questionnaire (questionnaire)

The questionnaire is a data collection technique tool that is done by giving a set of questions or written statements to the respondent to answer. The questionnaire is an efficient data collection technique when researchers know for sure the variables to be measured and know what can be expected from respondents Iskandar, J. (2008). Uma

Sekaran (1992) in Sugiyono revealed several principle of questionnaire writing, namely as follows:

- a. Principles of questionnaire writing
 - 1) The content and purpose of the question, what is meant here is the content of the question is a form of measurement or not. If it is in the form of measurement, then in making questions it must be thorough, each question must have a measurement scale and the number of items is sufficient to measure the variable under study.
 - 2) The language used, the language used in writing the questionnaire must be adapted to the language skills of the respondents.
 - 3) The type and form of questions, the type of questions in the questionnaire can be open or closed, (in interviews can be structured and not structured), and the form can use positive and negative sentences.
 - 4) The question should not be ambiguous.
 - 5) Do not ask questions that have been forgotten.
 - 6) Non-leading questions, meaning try not to let the question lead to only good or only bad answers.
 - 7) The length of the question, the questions in the questionnaire should not be too long, so that it will bore the respondents in filling.

- 8) The order of the questions, the order of the questions in the questionnaire, starts from the general to the specific, or from the easy to the difficult things.
- b. The principle of measurement, the questionnaire given to respondents is a research instrument, which is used to measure the variables to be studied. Therefore, the questionnaire instrument must be used to obtain valid and reliable data on the variables measured.

The physical appearance of the questionnaire, the physical appearance of the questionnaire as a data collection tool will affect the response or seriousness of the respondent in filling out the questionnaire.

Table 2. Questioneir

Instructions: Please indicate your choice for each of the following questions by selecting one of the options: 1 (Disagree), 2 (Somewhat Agree), 3 (Agree), or 4 (Strongly Agree).

				Score			
No	Aspect	Indicator	Question	4	3	2	1
				SA	A	SA	D
1.	Interest	1. Content	1.I am interested in	19	19	1	-
	and		joining a writing				
	Motivatio		community because				
	n		I believe that being				
			in a supportive				

		environment will make it easier for me				
		to write consistently				
		and develop the				
		creative ideas I have.				
		creative ideas i have.				
		2. I am interested in	1	6	25	7
		joining a writing	1	O	23	,
		community to help				
	100	me write complex				
	Sul.	narrative texts.				
	2//	3. In the writing	·	7	16	16
	137	community, I want	e C	,	10	10
	CHIVERS	to hone my narrative	X A			
	艺, 人	writing skills to	200			
	- 3	create strong,	-			
	2	imaginative, and				
		touching stories for				
		readers.				
		4. In the writing	-	5	23	11
		community, I can				
		practice my narrative				
		writing skills,				
		especially in				
		developing plot,				
			Ì			

	building character,				
	and conveying				
	emotions in depth.				
	5. In the writing		5	21	13
	community, I				
	learned to check				
	grammar in narrative				
	texts so that the				
	stories I wrote would				
	be clearer, more				
1977	coherent, and easier				
3//	to read.	e er			
90 DE	6. In the writing	C X	8	22	9
CHEVERS	community, it is	AR.			
3	easier for me to find	5			
	ideas for narrative				
	texts because the				
	comfortable class				
	atmosphere				
	encourages my				
	imagination and				
	creativity to develop.				
	7. In the writing	-	3	27	9
	community, I want				
	to learn how to				

		construct coherent and sequential paragraphs in narrative texts so that the storyline is easier for readers to understand.				
	OPHVERSIESS	8. The writing community helps me save time in understanding the structure and techniques of narrative writing effectively through discussions and sharing experiences.		5	20	14
		9. The tutor's response made it easier for me to understand and use narrative text structures appropriately, so that my writing became	1	3	20	15

			more focused and				
			easier to understand.				
			10.The tutor's	-	4	26	9
			answers are in				
			accordance with the				
			topics I studied in				
			the writing course,				
			so it is easier for me				
			to understand and				
			apply the structure				
		19 M	and elements in				
		3/1	narrative texts.	A.			
2.	Ease of	2.Organizati	11. The writing	C.	7	21	11
	Understan	on 💆	community provides	S.			
	ding and	多/气	concrete examples in	5			
	use	-	narrative texts that				
			clarify writing				
			concepts, helping me				
			understand how to				
			develop plot and				
			characters more				
			easily.				
			12.After joining the	-	3	23	13
			writing community, I				
			feel more motivated				

		to learn narrative writing, because I get support and real examples that make it easier for me to understand how to develop stories better.				
	CHIVERSITAS	13. In the writing community, I learned to create clear and focused explanatory sentences, so that each part of the narrative text remains connected and supports the storyline.	1 SUKARNO A	4	23	11
		14. I have no trouble creating cohesive sentences in narrative texts, because I learned how to connect ideas	1	9	22	7

		with a clear and				
		coherent flow.				
		15.In the writing	-	6	23	10
		community, I can				
		easily analyze the				
		relationship between				
		topic sentences,				
		supporting				
		sentences, and				
	2	concluding sentences				
	Sur	in narrative texts, so				
	3//	that the story	à.			
	18.87	structure becomes	307			
	CHIVERS,	more organized and	E E			
	3	clear.	3			
	- 1	EKAKAFA				
		16. In the writing	1	6	19	13
		community, I get a				
		lot of new				
		vocabulary that				
		enriches my				
		narrative texts,				
		makes stories more				
		varied and inspires				
		readers.				

		17.In a writing community, I can develop ideas better because of my increased vocabulary, which helps enrich the expression in narrative texts.	1	3	21	14
	CHIVERSITAS	18.In the writing community, I learned to avoid repeating the same words in a paragraph, so that my narrative text becomes more varied and easy to read.	OWNER NO	2	20	16
		19.In the writing community, my vocabulary choices become more varied, allowing me to write narrative texts more	-	4	23	12

			richly and				
			expressively.				
			20. In the world of	1	3	23	12
			writing, I learned to				
			use the right words				
			according to the				
			context of the				
			sentence, so that my				
			narrative text is				
		2	clearer, more				
		3	effective, and easier				
		3//	for readers to	A. Andre			
		R.391	understand.	900			
3.	Benefit in	3. Mechanic	21.In a writing	1	1	26	11
	developme	5/2	community, I can	ਰੱ			
	nt	13	develop ideas more				
			freely because of my				
			increased				
			vocabulary, which				
			gives me more				
			freedom to compose				
			rich and detailed				
			narrative texts.				
			22.In the writing	1	1	27	10
			community, I can				

		enrich my vocabulary, which helps me compose narrative texts with more appropriate and interesting word choices.				
	CHIVERSIEAS	23. In a writing community, I can learn the best choice of words according to context, so that my narrative texts become more precise, clear, and evocative.		4	23	11
		24.In the writing community, I can learn to use synonyms and antonyms appropriately, so that my narrative texts are more varied and expressive.	1	2	26	10

		25. In the writing community, the tutor introduced me to the use of idioms, which helped me enrich narrative texts with	-	3	24	12
		more vivid and meaningful expressions.				
	CHIVERSITAS	26.In the writing community, I can memorize a lot of new vocabulary, which makes it easier for me to write narrative texts with a richer and more interesting variety of words.	1 SUKARNO A	4	23	11
		27. Collaboration between members in a writing community supports the development of narrative texts by	-	7	18	14

 T			1			
		encouraging more				
		creative and diverse				
		exploration of ideas				
		and writing styles.				
		28. In the writing	-	7	18	14
		community, it is				
		easier for me to				
		express ideas, so I				
		can develop				
	0.	narrative texts with				
	3	clearer and more				
	2/	focused plots and	à.			
	188	conflicts.	90			
		29.In the world of	3	5	27	7
	EVING	writing, I have	3			
	- 1	experienced				
		significant				
		improvements in				
		writing narrative				
		texts, especially in				
		developing				
		storylines,				
		characters, and more				
		effective use of				
		1				
		language.				

4	Challange	4 Vocabular	of the writing community have difficulty developing ideas for narrative texts due to a lack of inspiration and story references that support the plot and characters.	-	11	22	6
4.	Challenge s and difficulties	4. Vocabular y	31. Limited interaction in a writing community can hinder the development of ideas in narrative texts, due to the lack of input and discussion that continuously triggers creativity.	N SUKARNO A	6	27	6
			32. I have a hard time building a logical and interesting storyline	4	10	16	9

		from start to finish.				
			1	6	24	8
		33.Developing	1	O	24	0
		consistent and vivid				
		characters in				
		narrative texts is still				
		a challenge for me.				
		34. I often get	2	8	19	10
		confused about				
		determining the				
		strong main conflict				
	3	in a story.				
	3//	35. The transitions	3	7	23	6
	18.87	between paragraphs	307			
	No.	in my narrative text	£			
	CHIVERS	sometimes feel stiff	5			
	- 6	and don't flow.				
	2	and don't now.				
	1000	26 11 1 1 1 1	2	10	10	0
		36. I had a hard time	2	10	18	9
		choosing the right				
		point of view to				
		strengthen the story.				
		37.My limited	2	8	19	10
		vocabulary makes it				
		difficult for me to				
		describe the				
l			l			

			atmosphere and				
			emotions clearly.				
			38. Composing	3	4	20	12
			dialogue that is				
			natural and supports				
			the development of				
			the story is still a				
			challenge.				
			39. I often lose focus	1	10	20	8
		2	on the story because				
		(a)	there are too many				
		3//	unfocused ideas.	A. Marian			
		R.S.	40. Maintaining	2	2	24	11
		CHIVERS	consistency of	H			
		3/5	language style	3			
		1	throughout the				
			narrative text is a				
			challenge for me.				
5.	Collaborat	5. Grammar	41.In the writing	1	3	23	12
	ion and		community, I can				
	Interaction		learn the proper use				
			of prepositions in				
			narrative texts, so				
			that the sentences in				
			the story become				

				ı			
			clearer and easier to				
			understand.				
			42. In the writing	1	3	24	11
			community, I am				
			able to create simple				
			sentences in the past				
			tense, which is very				
			useful for composing				
			narrative texts with a				
		9.	clear and coherent				
		19 M	sequence of events.				
		3/1	43.In the writing	65 66 –	5	21	13
		CHIVERSI	comm <mark>un</mark> ity, I can	90%			
			identify and correct	THE STATE OF THE S			
		5/5	grammatical errors	5			
		1	in narrative texts,				
		1	making my writing				
			more accurate and				
			easier to understand.				
			44.In the writing	-	7	21	11
			community, I can				
			communicate well to				
			exchange ideas and				
			get constructive				
			feedback, which				
L	I	I		l		l	

	helps the development of my narrative texts to be stronger and more focused. 45. In the writing community, I can learn to tell stories about past events correctly using past	1	4	17	17
CHIVIESITAS	about past events	5UK	5	21	12
	give examples of narrative texts in front of the class, which strengthened my understanding of plot, character, and appropriate language use. 47. In the writing	2	5	20	12
	TI. III UIC WIIIIIII		<i>J</i>	20	14

		community, I started				
		to feel confident				
		speaking English				
		with friends, because				
		I often practiced				
		composing and				
		reading narrative				
		texts in the language.				
		48.In the writing	-	6	23	10
	2	community, I have				
	49)	started to become				
	3/1	fluent in English	e en			
	R.S.	because I am used to	200			
	CHIVERS	writing and actively	AH.			
	3/2	discussing narrative	ರ್			
		texts.				
		49.In the writing	1	3	20	15
		community, I get				
		feedback from tutors				
		that helps me				
		understand my				
		weaknesses and				
		improve the				
		structure and content				
		of narrative texts				

		more effectively.				
		50.In the writing	-	5	23	11
		community, my				
		assignments were				
		guided by tutors,				
		who provided clear				
		direction in				
		developing the plot				
		and characters in				
	0.	narrative texts, so				
	4	that my writing				
	3/1	became more	g.			
	R.S.I	structured and	30.8			
		interesting.	SAR/			

E. Research Instrument

In this study, the researcher employed an interview guide in the form of open-ended questions as the main research instrument. The instrument was designed to collect in-depth information regarding students' perceptions and challenges in understanding and utilizing narrative texts within the English student community. "The use of open-ended interviews as a primary instrument in qualitative research has been extensively employed by scholars (Rubin & Rubin, 2012; Patton, 2002; Kvale, 1996)." To explore and analyze students' perceptions regarding the use and relevance of narrative texts

within the English student community. To identify and analyze the challenges faced by students in understanding and utilizing narrative texts within the English student community.

Each item in the instrument was carefully constructed to align with the indicators derived from the research objectives. Questions number 1, 2, 5, 7, 8, and 9 were designed to elicit students' perceptions about the use, relevance. motivational aspects of narrative texts. Meanwhile, questions number 3, 4, 6, and 10 were directed toward exploring the challenges encountered by students when working with narrative texts, including linguistic difficulties, access to resources, peer feedback, and writing anxiety. The instrument uses open-ended response types, allowing participants to freely express their thoughts, experiences, and challenges without being limited by predetermined options. This approach is expected to provide richer and more authentic data for analysis. The complete instrument items, along with their indicators, related research questions, and response types, are presented in the following table:

No	Aspect	Indicator	Item/Question	Respons
				e Type
1.	-Conceptual	Students'	In your opinion,	Open-
	understandin	understandin	what is a	ended /
	g	g of narrative	narrative text and	Essay
	-Perceived	texts	how does it	

	function of		function in	
	narrative		writing activities	
	texts		within the	
			English student	
			community?	
2.	- Skill	Perception of	Perception of	Open-
	development	narrative	narrative texts'	ended /
	(grammar,	texts' impact	impact on writing	Essay
	vocabulary,	on writing	skills	
	coherence)	skills	EL FAT	
	- Writing	3/1/1	1	
	confidence	MIX	1113	
3.	- Personal	Students'	How is your	Open-
	experience	experience in	experience in	ended /
	- Writing	writing	creating narrative	Essay
	challenges	narrative	texts? Did you	
	encountered	texts	face any	
			challenges?	
4.	- Access to	Availability	Do you have	Open-
	resources	of tools and	sufficient tools	ended /
	- Supportive	access	and access (e.g.,	Essay
	learning		books, internet,	
	environment		lanton/smartphon	
			e)	

5.	- Language	Transferabilit	Does writing	Open-
	transfer	y of narrative	narrative RC	ended /
	- Practical	text practice	texts regularly	Essay
	language use		help you in	
			speaking or	
			writing English	
			in other contexts?	
			Please explain.	
6.	- Use of	Peer	Have you ever	Open-
	feedback	feedback and	revised your	ended /
	-	revision	narrative text	Essay
	Improvemen	MIX	based on peer	
	t through 🧖		feedback? How	
	revision		did that feedback	
	3		influence your	
	5	BENGI	writing?	
7.	- Text type	Comparison	Do you find	Open-
	preference	with other	writing narrative	ended /
	- Perceived	text types	texts easier	Essay
	difficulty		compared to	
			other types of	
			texts (descriptive,	
			report, recount,	
			etc.)? Why?	

8.	- Personal	Compatibilit	texts suit your	Open-
	learning	y with	Does writing	ended /
	preference	learning style	narrative learning	Essay
	-		style? Please	
	Engagement		explain.	
	in writing			
	process			
9.	- Intrinsic	Motivation in	Does writing	Open-
	motivation	learning	stories make you	ended /
	- Emotional	English	more motivated	Essay
	engagement	3////	in learning	
	Š	ATTX	English? Why?	
10.	- Affective	Reduction of	Does the process	Open-
	factors	fear/anxiety	of writing	ended /
	- Confidence	in writing	narrative texts	Essay
	building	BENGI	help reduce your	
	_		fear or anxiety	
			when writing in	
			English?	

Hyland, K. (2003). *Second Language Writing*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

This study employs a kualitatif research methodology by using deskriptif and induktif research conducted at PT. Kereta Api Logistik. According to, kualitatif research is research that is used to examine the state of an alamiah object, where the

researcher acts as a kunci instrument. The instruments used as data collection are questionnaires, observation and interviews.

The researcher took the following actions when drafting a questionnaire:

- 1. The questionnaire's questions are based on Brown's theory of writing components, which the researcher selected as the basis for the questionnaire. The researcher used that theory for the questionnaire because, according to the tutor who runs the writing community program, he emphasizes student understanding of the elements of writing in the community. Thus, this hypothesis supports the writing community tutor's assertions. The five components are mechanics, vocabulary, grammar, organization, and content.
- 2. The indicators are converted into multiple questions one at a time when the appropriate theory has been determined to be utilized as an instrument.
- Because this is a quantitative study, the questions in the closed-ended questionnaire were created using a Likert scale.
- 4. The researcher initially assesses the questions' validity and reliability after they have been created, prior to distributing the questionnaire to respondents.

- 5. Next, using a Google Form, the researcher sent the questionnaire to the participants in the fourth Semester of the 2024-2025 Student Community.
- 6. The researcher then used Ms. Excel to calculate the students' scores and percentages after they completed the questionnaire to determine how they felt about the writing community in terms of honing their writing abilities.

F. Validity and Realibility

1. Validity

To get legitimate research findings, validity is necessary. A "valid instrument" is one that can be used to measure what needs to be measured, according to the expression. steps make upvalidity,including:

a. In order to get opinions and feedback, the researcher employed judgment experts to assess construct validity. Comment is given by the validator which covers the coherence of the theoretically stated assertions and indicators.

2. Reliability

The reliability has the ability to be used as a tool to determine whether or not a particular variable is a questionnaire that is composed of indicators that act as variables. According to Ghozali (2016), a questionnaire can be considered reliable or andal if the responses to the questions are consistent or stable throughout time. In

research, reability refers to rater or expert, also known as inter-rater reliability (IRR). This reliability test is used to determine the degree of agreement between the rater and the expert in evaluating each indicator on the instrument. The Inter-Rater Reliability (IRR) chart will provide a score indicating how well the rater or expert is performing. An expert is used as the subjects of this study, hence the Cohen Kappa koefisien is used in this study. This is based on the usage described by, which states that "Koefisien kappa tepat is used when (a) the number of raters that are used is not very high." Generally, one subject is evaluated by two raters. (b) The results of the analysis are categorized.

G. Data Analysis Method

A questionnaire is the method of data collecting employed in this investigation. The goal of employing a questionnaire in this study is to obtain particular data about students' perception on the writing community towards their writing skills. After gathering the data, the researcher would examine it. The steps for data analysis are as follows:

To determine the mean score of students' perceptions of the writing community in terms of developing their writing skills, the researcher first examined the questionnaire data using five Likert scale values. Each statement was assigned a number (Always = 5, Often = 4, Sometimes = 3, Almost Never = 2, Never = 1) in order to determine the mean score.

2. The researcher then calculated the percentage of each questionnaire item that was answered by respondents. Based on the following criteria, the researcher evaluated the proportion of total items and indicators to ascertain how students felt about the writing community in terms of developing their writing abilities.

Table 3.2

Descriptive Table: Persentage of Students' Perception on Writing Community

Interval Presents	Category	
76% - 100%	Very high	
51% - 75%	High	
26% - 50%	Low	
0% - 25%	Low // S	

Source: Ridwan, 2004

The researcher determined the appropriate sequence based on each level of indicators, ranging from very high to very low levels of students' perceptions of the writing community in terms of developing their writing abilities.

H. The Technique of Data Analysis

There are a number of benefits to using questionnaires to collect data for this study. Selinger and Shohamy list these as follows: "a) they can be administered to a large number of subjects at the same time and are self-administrated." As a

result, they are less expensive to administer than other procedures like interviews; b) subjects are more likely to divulge sensitive information when anonymity is guaranteed; c) the data are more consistent and standardized because all subjects receive the same questionnaire; and d) the data are more accurate because all research subjects typically receive them at precisely the same time.

The questionnaire will be used to gather data while keeping these advantages in mind. The statements are intended to evoke agreement or disagreement from the participants. Participants answer on a five-point Likert scale, where 1 denotes disagree, 2 somewhat agree, 3 agree, and 4 strongly agree.

For each statement, participants will be instructed to circle the response that most accurately reflects how much they agree with it. There are 50 questions on the questionnaire, and students must honestly answer them. The following criteria should be used for premilinary analysis when creating basic distribution tables for each variable:

- 1. I completely agree; it displays the best grade. For that circumstance, the score is 4.
- 2. Agree, which displays a lower rating than agree. Three scrores are then displayed.
- 3. When compared to agree, the neutral (somewhat idea) rate is lower. It then assigns three scores.

4. I disagree; the lowest rating is displayed. For this circumstance, the score is 1. The researcher will employ tabulation to gather data for this investigation. The data.

Tabulating entails entering the data's response in a table that will be condensed into percentages and frequencies. Following collection, all of the data will be chosen and arranged. In this instance, the researcher only gathered quantitative data.

The percentage formula that will be used is as follows:

$$P = \frac{F}{N} X 100\%$$

Annotation:

P=Percentage

F=Frequency of the respondents

N=The Total Number of The Respondents

Each statement item's data will be combined into a single table that includes the percentage and frequency. The researcher will next analyze and interpret the data using the scale that was chosen as follows.

Table 3.3 The Scale Likert Below

NEGATIVE STATMENT		POSITIVE STATEMENT		
Category	Score	Category	Score	
Strongly Disagre (STS)	4	Strongly Disagre	1	
		(STS)		
Disagree (TS)	3	Disagree (TS)	2	
Agree (S)	2	Agree (S)	3	
Strongly Agree (SS)	1	Strongly Agree (SS)	4	

Table 3. 4 Certain Percentage Scale

No.	Interval	Interpretation
1.	75% - 100%	Almost
2.	50% - 75%	More than half
3.	25% - 50%	Half
4.	0% - 25%	Least

Table 3.5

In Addition the Classification of the students' response based on the criteria below:

Low	Normal	Strong	Very Strong
0% - 25%	26% - 50%	51% - 75%	76% - 100%